



GUILDFORD  
BOROUGH

**James Whiteman**  
Managing Director

**[www.guildford.gov.uk](http://www.guildford.gov.uk)**

Dear Councillor

**PLANNING COMMITTEE - WEDNESDAY 16TH SEPTEMBER 2020**

Please find attached the following:

**Late Sheets - Amendments and Corrections and Late Representations (Pages 1 - 6)**

Yours sincerely

Sophie Butcher, Democratic Services Officer  
01483 444056

Encs

This page is intentionally left blank

## Planning Committee

16 September 2020

### Amendment/Correction/Update List

**(Page 28) - Planning Committee - Minutes 19 August 2020**  
**20/P/00746 - 14A Tangier Road, Guildford, GU1 2DE**

In the minutes, Councillor Maddy Redpath is detailed as having voted both against and to abstain when she in fact voted to abstain and Councillor Chris Blow did not have a vote marked against his name when he voted against. The correct vote is shown below and has been amended in the online published version of the minutes:

RECORDED VOTES LIST				
	Councillor	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
1	Liz Hogger	X		
2	Ramsey Nagaty			X
3	Richard Billington	X		
4	Christopher Barrass		X	
5	Jan Harwood	X		
6	Colin Cross			X
7	Angela Gunning	X		
8	Ruth Brothwell		X	
9	Pauline Searle	X		
10	Fiona White	X		
11	Marsha Moseley	X		
12	Chris Blow		X	
13	Graham Eyre		X	
14	Maddy Redpath			X
15	Jon Askew	X		
	TOTALS	8	4	3

**(Page 34) – Planning Committee – Minutes 2 September 2020**  
**20/P/00511 – 1 Ash Lodge Close, Ash, Guildford, GU12 6JU**

The reason for refusal had been omitted from the published version of the minutes but is now included in the amended and online version and is detailed below for information:

1. The proposed development would, by virtue of its proximity to the eastern boundary of the site and the resultant creation of a row of terraced properties, fail to respect the established character of the area and result in an overdevelopment of the site contrary to saved policy G5 of the Guildford Borough Local Plan 2003 and Policy D1 of the Guildford Borough Council Local Plan: Strategy and Sites 2015 – 2034.

#### **Informatives:**

1. This statement is provided in accordance with Article 35(2) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. Guildford Borough Council seek to take a positive and proactive approach to development proposals. We work with applicants in a positive and proactive manner by:

- Offering a pre application advice service
- Where pre-application advice has been sought and that advice has been followed we will advise applicants/agents of any further issues arising during the course of the application
- Where possible officers will seek minor amendments to overcome issues identified at an early stage in the application process

However, Guildford Borough Council will generally not engage in unnecessary negotiation for fundamentally unacceptable proposals or where significant changes to an application is required.

Pre-application advice was not sought prior to submission but the application was considered acceptable by Officers. The application was referred to Planning Committee by the local ward member where the majority of Cllrs disagreed with the Officer recommendation and as such the decision was made to refuse the application.

2. This decision relates expressly to drawing numbers PL03, PL02, PL05, PL01 - received on 19 March 2020 and PL04 REV A, PL06, PL07 received 27 March 2020.

### **20/P/01026 – (Page 39) – Guildford Crematorium, New Pond Road, Peasmarsh, Guildford**

#### **Update on the design proposal**

Officers are aware there has been some debate about the design solution put forward as part of this section 73 application. Whilst officers consider that the proposal is acceptable as it stands the applicant has been contacted for a response to the comments on the design matters, this is the response received:

“The revised stack has been designed to be consistent with the existing stack and design ethos for the building. The material choice of stainless steel has been used to prevent corrosion and to blend in to the sky.

The stack follows the horizontal and vertical planes of the building sitting atop of on the crematory pop up roof structure. It is also an extension of the current square stack as per the approved design.

In terms of some of the responses from consultees we do not agree with the approach being suggested, which is subjective. The suggestion to bring in a tapered stack bears no relation to the other design features of the building, notably the angles of the pop up roof structures, a tapered stack would introduce entirely different angles, proportions and sit out of context, creating an unnecessary feature. Emissions stacks have technical requirements to be met and many mourners find the stack a distressing sight, thus making a feature of it would be inappropriate. We contend this is not poor design and offers restraint and simplicity to the emissions stack which is in keeping with an exceptionally well designed building.

The technical requirements of stack design limit the form of the flue extension. These limits are set down by the cremator manufacturers own design parameters/performances, the interpretation of the D1 calculation and the sign off from the Environmental Health Officer. For these reasons we don't believe there is much scope to better the flue extension without impact on one or more of the technical requirements or the overall concept and design ethos for the building. The current design has been approved by Environmental Health.

Any tapering as suggested by the consultees, if it were technically feasible, would add at least a further 0.5m to the stack height creating a greater visual impact to deliver the same air quality requirements.”

This page is intentionally left blank

## **Planning Committee**

**16 September 2020**

### **Late Representations**

Since the last date for the submission of views on applications/matters before the Committee this evening, representations in respect of the under mentioned applications/ matters have been received. The letters, copies of which will be available for inspection by councillors at the meeting, are summarised below.

#### **Item 5 – Planning Applications**

##### **20/P/01026 – Guildford Crematorium, New Pond Road, Peasmarsh, Guildford**

No late representations have been received in relation to the above application.

This page is intentionally left blank